

**United States Environmental Protection Agency
Criminal Investigation Division
Investigative Activity Report**

Case Number

0500-0614

Case Title:

Village of Crestwood, IL

Reporting Office:

Chicago, IL, Area Office

Subject of Report:

Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Activity Date:

July 20, 2012

Reporting Official and Date:

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (7)(C)

Special Agent

25-JUL-2012, Signed by: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Approving Official and Date:

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Special Agent in Charge

25-JUL-2012, Approved by: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Assistant Special Agent in Charge

SYNOPSIS

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) stated that the minute he disembarked from the commuter train following a meeting with the US Attorney's Office in July 10, 2012, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) telephonically contacted him, stating that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) told (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) that he might be prosecuted for not informing the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA) that Crestwood was blending well water with purchased water. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) stated he then called (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) back the following Saturday and discussed this further.

DETAILS

On July 20, 2012, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Water Operator, Village of Crestwood, IL was interviewed by Assistant U.S. Attorney (AUSA) Erika Csicsila, Office of the U.S Attorney for the Northern District of Illinois. Also in attendance were (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), Attorney for (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) and the reporting agent. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was previously interviewed by AUSA Csicsila on July 10, 2012.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) stated that he was called on his cellular telephone by former Crestwood certified operator (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) the minute he exited the METRA train in Midlothian, IL following his meeting with AUSA Csicsila July 10th. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) stated that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) told him that he might be prosecuted for not informing officials at the IEPA about the use of the drinking water well in Crestwood to blend on-site well water with purchased water.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) stated that after speaking with (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) that Tuesday, he called (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) the following Saturday and spoke with him again. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) stated that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) informed him that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) had all of the grand jury transcripts and (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was concerned for (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) telling him that he may be prosecuted for not informing officials at the IEPA of the blending of the two water sources.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) stated that he realized after making the telephone call to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) that he should not have called him, and that he would not contact (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) again. The interview was then concluded.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the EPA.

It is the property of the EPA and is loaned to your agency;
it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.